

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/644,777	08/23/2000	Roger P. Jackson	10,033	1641	
7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER			
John C McMahon			STOKES, CANDICE CAPRI		
P O Box 30069		•			
Kansas City, MO 64112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3732	•	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{V}$
,	Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	
		644,777	JACKSON, ROGER P.	
Office Action Summ	Exa	miner	Art Unit	
		dice C. Stokes	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	ommunication appears o	on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o - If the period for reply specified above is less th If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply within la aximum statutory period will apply d for reply will, by statute, cause le months after the mailing date of	n no event, however, may a reply be the statutory minimum of thirty (30) y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered time om the mailing date of this o NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>16 June 20</u>	<u>005</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This actio			
3) Since this application is in coclosed in accordance with the				e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-26,50 and 51</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-26,50 and 51</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are object 8) □ Claim(s) are subject t	is/are withdrawn fro d. is/are rejected. ed to.	m consideration.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s) 11) The oath or declaration is obj	is/are: a) accepted any objection to the drawin ncluding the correction is	g(s) be held in abeyance. s required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
2. Certified copies of the3. Copies of the certified	ne of: priority documents have priority documents have copies of the priority do ternational Bureau (PC	e been received. e been received in Applic cuments have been rece T Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	I Date al Patent Application (PT	⁻ O-152)

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

The indicated allowability of claims 1-7,9-26 and 50-51 is withdrawn in view of the reference(s) to Morrison et al and Reed. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the combination according to claim 50" in line 1. However, Claim 50 does not recite a combination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002

Art Unit: 3732

do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1) Claims 1-7,9-10,12-26 and 50-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morison et al. (USPN 6,296,642). Morrison et al. discloses a medical implant with head 11, spaced arms and, a "closure member 12 adapted to be threadedly engaged" having a "generally cylindrical" portion, a clear implication that the threads are helically wound about the body of the implant in a continuous manner (column 3, lines 50 & 66). In column 3, lines 54-59, Morrison et al further disclose a second implant, "a receiver member 11" which "includes a longitudinal or thread axis". This device also includes "a transverse channel 16 for receiving an elongated member, which is generally perpendicular to axis 14 and bore 15". In lines 35-42 of column 4, Morrison et al disclose that "threads 44 and 46 are reverse angle threads" meaning that the "rearward-facing thread surface" is "sloped so that, for a given cross-section of the thread through the longitudinal axis of the screw, a point is closer to the distal or forward end of the screw that a point on the rearward-facing thread surface at the crest of the thread". Further, with respect to Claims 2-6, "in one particular embodiment of the present invention, illustrated in Fig. 4, pressure angle α is -5 degrees, and flank angle β is 45 degrees. However, it is understood that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that other negative values of pressure angle α , including values between about -1 degree and at least -40 degrees, and other values of flank angle β are within the scoped of the present invention. As noted above, reverse angel thread 44 of receiver member 11 is configured substantially similarly to reverse angle thread 46 of closure

Art Unit: 3732

member 12 so that threads 44 and 46 can be engaged" (col. 4, lines 64-67 & col. 5, lines 1-7). In addition, "channel 16 is bounded on both sides by legs 20 of receiver member 11". In regards to Claims 14-16, as shown in Figures 1-3 of Morrison et al, the leading and trailing surfaces are spaced at substantially the same radius creating a generally obtuse triangular cross-section. Referring again to the Figures presented by Morrison et al, it is clear that Claims 21 are anticipated by Figures 1-3 where β and α are shown to create a cross-section generally triangular in shape.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morrison et al in view of Reed (USPN 5,499,892). Morrison et al discloses the claimed invention except for the threadform is a discontinuous helical pattern. Reed teaches a threadform with a helical discontinuous pattern. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the helical discontinuous pattern of the threadform taught by Reed into the threaded implant disclosed by Morrison et al in order to prevent easy removal of the closure from the implant thereby providing an increased interference fit.

Response to Amendment

The declaration filed on 03/04/03 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Morrison et al (USPN 6,296,642) reference.

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish applicant's alleged actual reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country after the effective date of the Morrison et al reference. Following alleged conception in 1992, applicant fails to show any evidence of actual reduction to practice prior to 1998. In fact the only evidence provided shows possible actual reduction to practice not until 1999. This is after the effective date of the Morrison et al reference which is November 1998.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Candice C. Stokes whose telephone number is (571) 272-4714.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3732

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Candice C. Stokes

Cary E. O'Connor Primary Examiner